

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Tara L. Alvarez, Et Al.	Conf #:	7026
Serial No.:	Examiner:	Chirag G. Shah
Filed: October 30, 2000	Group Art Unit:	2616
For: Dynamic Latency Assignment Methodology For Bandwidth Optimization Of Packet Flows	Att'y Docket:	2100.011400
	Client Docket:	ALVAREZ 2-4-3

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED SEPTEMBER 14, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action dated September 14, 2006, for which the three-month date for response is December 14, 2006.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 6 of this paper.

If an extension of time is required to enable this paper to be timely filed and there is no separate Petition for Extension of Time filed herewith, this paper is to be construed as also constituting a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for a period of time sufficient to enable this document to be timely filed.

It is believed that no fee is due; however, should any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 to 1.21 and 1.136(a) be required for any reason, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct said fees from Williams, Morgan & Amerson's P.C. Deposit Account 50-0785/2100.011400.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.